

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/403,338	10/19/1999	SEINOSUKE HORIKI	2710/60471 7137		
7590 01/10/2006		EXAMINER			
COOPER & DUNHAM 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			KRUER, KEVIN R		
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1773		

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*1								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/403,338	HORIKI ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kevin R. Kruer	1773					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 October 2005 . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1,3,5-10,12 and 14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,10 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3,5-8 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application	on Papers							
10) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	- *				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	(s)							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	O-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/403,338 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The declaration filed October 17, 2005 is acknowledged. Said declaration is sufficient for overcoming the objections noted in paragraph 1 of the Office Action mailed 07/12/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP06270329 (herein referred to as Yuka'329), JP07195870 (herein referred to as Yuka'870, JP08121092 (herein referred to as Yuka'192), or JP05204609 (herein referred to as Yuka'609), in view of Taylor (US 4,292,105) for reasons of record.

Yuka'329 teaches a fibrous material impregnated with a sulfomethylated compound of a condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract).

Yuka'870 teaches a sulfomethylated condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers, wood chips, and nonwoven fabrics, or as an impregnate or adhesive (page 1 of translation).

Yuka'192 teaches a sulfomethylated condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers, wood chips, and nonwoven fabrics, or as an impregnate or adhesive (page 1 of translation).

Yuka'609 teaches a sulfomethylated condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers (page 1 of translation).

Yuka '329, Yuka'870, Yuka'192, and Yuka'609 do not teach that the resin should be advanced to the B-stage of curing. However, Taylor teaches a fibrous textile impregnated with a thermosetting polymer (col 1, lines 10+). Taylor teaches that the polymerization of the thermosetting polymer should be advanced to the B-stage, because the impregnated material can be stored for a reasonable length of time in that state (col 1, lines 18+). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to cure the resins taught in Yuka '329, Yuka'870, Yuka'192, and Yuka'609 to the B-stage after impregnation in order to obtain a product that could be stored fro a reasonable length of time.

4. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP06270329 (herein referred to as Yuka'329), JP07195870 (herein referred to as Yuka'870, JP08121092 (herein referred to as Yuka'192), or JP05204609 (herein referred to as Yuka'609), in view of Benzinger (US 3,617,613) for reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/403,338

Art Unit: 1773

Yuka'329 teaches a fibrous material impregnated with a sulfomethylated compound of a condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract).

Yuka'870 teaches a sulfomethylated condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers, wood chips, and nonwoven fabrics, or as an impregnate or adhesive (page 1 of translation).

Yuka'192 teaches a sulfomethylated condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers, wood chips, and nonwoven fabrics, or as an impregnate or adhesive (page 1 of translation).

Yuka'609 teaches a sulfomethylated condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers (page 1 of translation).

Yuka '329, Yuka'870, Yuka'192, and Yuka'609 do not teach that the resin should be advanced to the B-stage of curing. However, Benzinger teaches a glass fiber sheet impregnated with a thermosetting resin (abstract). Benzinger teaches that the flow rate of a thermosetting resin can be controlled by polymerizing the polymer to the B stage.

Art Unit: 1773

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to cure the resins taught in Yuka '329, Yuka'870, Yuka'192, and Yuka'609 to the B stage in order to control the resin's flow.

5. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP06270329 (herein referred to as Yuka'329), JP07195870 (herein referred to as Yuka'870, JP08121092 (herein referred to as Yuka'192), or JP05204609 (herein referred to as Yuka'609), in view of Casadevall (US 3,960,626) for reasons of record.

Yuka'329 teaches a fibrous material impregnated with a sulfomethylated compound of a condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract).

Yuka'870 teaches a sulfomethylated condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers, wood chips, and nonwoven fabrics, or as an impregnate or adhesive (page 1 of translation).

Yuka'192 teaches a sulfomethylated condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers, wood chips, and nonwoven fabrics, or as an impregnate or adhesive (page 1 of translation).

Art Unit: 1773

Yuka'609 teaches a sulfomethylated condensation polymer. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers (page 1 of translation).

Yuka '329, Yuka'870, Yuka'192, and Yuka'609 do not teach that the resin should be cured to the B stage. However, Casadevall teaches that the handlability of fiber impregnated with a phenolic resin can be improved by curing to the B stage. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to cure the resins taught in Yuka '329, Yuka'870, Yuka'192, and Yuka'609 in order to improve their handlability.

6. Claims 6-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Franz et al. (US 3,922,459) in view of JP06270329 (herein referred to as Yuka'329), JP07195870 (herein referred to as Yuka'870), JP08121092 (herein referred to as Yuka'192), or JP05204609 (herein referred to as Yuka'609) for reasons of record.

Franz teaches a web of fibers impregnated (abstract) with a phenol formaldehyde resin (col 8, line 51). A metal foil may be glued to one or both sides of the impregnated fibers (col 8, lines 32-36).

Franz does not teach that the fibers should be impregnated with the claimed sulfomethylated or sulfomethylated phenolic resin. However, Yuka'329, Yuka'870, Yuka'192, and Yuka'609 each individually teach sulfomethylated condensation polymers. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers (page 1 of translation). Such resins have better pot life and better water solubility than phenol

Application/Control Number: 09/403,338

Art Unit: 1773

formaldehyde resins. Therefore, it would have been obvious to utilize the sulfomethylated phenolic condensation polymers taught in Yuka'329, Yuka'870, Yuka'192, and Yuka'609 in place of the phenolic formaldehyde resin taught in Franz because such sulfomethylated resins have better pot life and water solubility-thus making processing easier.

Page 7

With regard to claim 14, the metal layer is understood to be the claimed "base sheet," the glue is understood to read on the claimed "adhesive" and the phenolic resin is understood to read on "the cured material." With regard to the limitation that the resin is at least partially sulfomethylated and/or sulfimethylated "at a time when said phenolic resin is at B-stage," the examiner takes the position that the method of making the product does not patentably distinguish a claimed product from a product taught in the prior art unless it can be shown that the method of making a product inherently results in a materially different product. In the present application, no such showing has been made.

7. Claims 6-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burke (US 3,619,342) in view of JP06270329 (herein referred to as Yuka'329), JP07195870 (herein referred to as Yuka'870), JP08121092 (herein referred to as Yuka'192), or JP05204609 (herein referred to as Yuka'609) for reasons of record.

Burke teaches a corrugated fiberboard which resists deterioration in strength when in the presence of water. The board comprises liner members bonded to either side of a corrugate medium that has been treated with phenol aldehyde resole resin (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resole should have a water solubility such that an aqueous solution comprising 55wt% resin solids can be prepared (col 2, line 75).

Burke does not teach that the medium should be impregnated with the claimed sulfomethylated or sulfimethylated phenolic resin. However, Yuka'329, Yuka'870, Yuka'192, and Yuka'609 each individually teach sulfomethylated condensation polymers. The condensation polymer comprises a phenol and aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor (abstract). The phenol aldehyde resin is then cured with an amine compound (abstract). The resin may be used as a binder in molding fibers (page 1 of translation). Such resins have better pot life and better water solubility than phenol formaldehyde resins. Therefore, it would have been obvious to utilize the sulfomethylated phenolic condensation polymers taught in Yuka'329, Yuka'870, Yuka'192, and Yuka'609 in place of the phenolic formaldehyde resin taught in Burke because such sulfomethylated resins have better water solubility, thus making it easy to prepare an aqueous solution comprising 55wt% resin solids.

With regard to claim 14, the liner members are understood to be the claimed "base sheet," the adhesive (col 6, lines 6+) is understood to read on the claimed "adhesive" and the phenolic resin is understood to read on "the cured material." With regard to the limitation that the resin is at least partially sulfomethylated and/or sulfimethylated "at a time when said phenolic resin is at B-stage," the examiner takes the position that the method of making the product does not patentably distinguish a claimed product from a product taught in the prior art unless it can be shown that the method of making a product inherently results in a materially different product. In the present application, no such showing has been made.

Response to Arguments

Page 9

Applicant's arguments filed October 17, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the Yuka references disclose sulfomethylated condensation polymers, but do not disclose that the resin should be advanced to the B-stage of curing. Applicant further notes the Taylor reference contains no suggestion that there is any special advantage of B-stage curing at least partially sulfomethylated and/or sulfimethylated resin. The examiner concurs, but notes that the Yuka references and the Taylor reference were never relied upon for such teachings. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Applicant discusses an "Exhibit A" in said response but no such exhibit has been made of record in the application. Thus, the examiner cannot fully respond to applicant's comments with regards to said exhibit. The examiner will note that from applicant's description, the exhibit seems to show what one of ordinary skill in the art would expect in view of the references of record in the application.

Applicant argues, hitherto, adjustments of curing and drying conditions to put the phenolic resin at the B-stage have been very difficult. Applicant suggests the present invention solves the problem by utilizing a sulfo- and/or sulfimethylated phenolic resin in the material. However, the Yuka references teach that the sulfomethylation or

Art Unit: 1773

sulfimethylation of a phenolic resin improves the resins moldability, storage life, and heat resistance. Thus, the examiner maintains one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the sulfomethylation or sulfimethylation of a phenolic resin to result in a more stable composition.

With regard to Casadevali, Applicant argues the reference is not combinable with the Yuka references because it does not disclose a porous material to be molded as in the present invention and does not disclose adding an aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor together with a phenolic resin. The examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's argument that Casadevali reference is not analogous to the Yuka references. A prior art reference is analogous if the reference is in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, the reference is reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the inventor was concerned. In the present application, the examiner maintains the position that the Yuka references and Casadevali are analogous because they are both drawn to cured phenolic compositions. The examiner further notes Casadevali was never relied upon to teach the aldehyde and/or aldehyde donor. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

With regard to Benzinger, Applicant argues said reference is not analogous because the thermosetting resin is an epoxy resin, not a phenolic resin. The examiner respectfully disagrees and maintains said references are analogous because they are

drawn to the same field of endeavor: a glass fiber sheet impregnated with a thermosetting resin.

With regard to Franz, Applicant's argues the reference is not analogous because it does not teach a phenol formaldehyde and cresol formaldehyde that is at B-stage. The examiner agrees, but notes that the teachings of Franz were not applied to claims wherein the resin is at B-stage. Rather, the resin of claims 6-8 and 14 (the claims rejected under Franz) is taught to be "cured." In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a B-stage resin) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

With regard to Burke, Applicant argues the reference does not teach a phenolaldehyde at B-stage. Burke is currently not applied to claims wherein the resin is at Bstage.

For the reasons noted above, the rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin R. Kruer whose telephone number is 571-272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin R. Kruer

Ken & June

Patent Examiner-Art Unit 1773